



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,498	02/23/2004	Dmitry Grebenev	063170.6658	2208
5073	7590	04/02/2010		
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
2001 ROSS AVENUE			MEHRMANESH, ELMIRA	
SUITE 600				
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com  
glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/784,498	GREBENEV, DMITRY
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Elmira Mehrmanesh	2113

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2009.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/25/10</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to an RCE filed on December 14, 2009 for the application of Grebenev, for a “Kernel-level method of flagging problems in applications” filed February 23, 2004.

Claims 1-21 are pending in the present application.

Information disclosed and listed on PTO 1449 has been considered.

Claims 1, 2, 12, 14, 15, and 17-19 have been amended.

Claims 1, 4-8, 10-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 USC § 102.

Claims 2, 3, 9, and 20 is rejected under 35 USC § 103.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-8, 10-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,684,945).

As per claim 1, Chen discloses a method of identifying problems in applications (Fig. 1) and (col. 22, lines 42-48), comprising:

monitoring (col. 6, lines 61-63 and Fig. 1, element 90, *performance tool*) at a kernel level system resource usage of one or more running applications (col. 26, lines

31-35 and 49-52) and (col. 94, lines 10-12, wherein Chen discloses “*... process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*” without modifying run-time environments of the one or more user applications (col. 21, lines 65-69 through col. 22, lines 1-2 and 42-52);

    determining a system resource usage pattern of a first application (col. 87, lines 3-18), the system resource usage pattern indicating a change (col. 86, lines 1-18) in the system usage of the first application from a first time period to a second time period (col. 15, lines 35-40);

    determining whether the change (Abstract, *capturing and comparing performance statistics*) in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20); and

    if the change in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria, identifying the first application to a user (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 97, lines 5-11).

As per claim 4, Chen discloses the system resource usage comprises memory usage of the one or more running applications (col. 26, lines 49-52).

As per claim 5, Chen discloses monitoring at a kernel level system resource usage of one or more running applications comprises monitoring at a kernel level

system resource usage of one or more running processes belonging to one or more user applications (col. 6, lines 61-63) and (col. 94, lines 10-12) wherein the one or more applications comprise one or more applications initiated at the user level and the one or more running processes comprise one or more processes initiated at the kernel level by the one or more user applications (col. 90, lines 63-65).

As per claim 6, Chen discloses the system resource usage of the one or more running processes is monitored over a plurality of consecutive discrete time periods (col. 92, TABLE 66).

As per claim 7, Chen discloses the system resource usage comprises an amount of memory usage for each of the one or more applications; and the predetermined criteria is a limit on a number of memory increases allowed during the plurality of time periods, an increase in amount of the system resource usage from a first period to a second period (col. 92, lines 23-67 through col. 93, lines 6-10).

As per claim 8, Chen discloses the system resource usage comprises an amount of memory usage for each of the one or more applications; (col. 94, lines 10-12, wherein Chen discloses “*... process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*”); and the predetermined criteria is a generally continuous increase in the

amount of memory usage during the plurality of time periods (col. 92, lines 23-67 through col. 93, lines 6-10).

As per claim 10, Chen discloses identifying the first application to a user comprises saving an identifier of the first application in a reference file, and further comprising saving identifiers (col. 26, lines 38-63) of any other of the one or more applications whose system usage pattern satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems in the reference file (col. 94, lines 8-12, wherein Chen discloses "*The user can select a "sort" button to reorder the menu of process data by a specific category or process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*"); and the predetermined criteria is a generally continuous increase in the amount of memory usage during the plurality of time periods (col. 92, lines 23-67 through col. 93, lines 6-10).

As per claim 11, Chen discloses a computer automatically monitors the kernel level system resource usage of one or more running applications; determines whether a system usage pattern of a first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 92, lines 56-60); and identifies the first application (col. 90, lines 63-65).

As per claim 12, Chen discloses a method of identifying memory problems in applications (Fig. 1) and (col. 22, lines 42-48), comprising:

monitoring (col. 6, lines 61-63 and Fig. 1, element 90, *performance tool*) at a kernel level system memory usage of one or more running (col. 26, lines 31-35 and 49-52) and (col. 94, lines 8-12, wherein Chen discloses "*The user can select a "sort" button to reorder the menu of process data by a specific category or process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*") without modifying run-time environments of the one or more user applications (col. 21, lines 65-69 through col. 22, lines 1-2 and 42-52); and

producing an output comprising at least the memory usage (col. 9, lines 41-51, *data display system*); and

determining a change in the memory usage of a first application (col. 87, lines 3-12), the memory usage pattern indicating a change (col. 86, lines 1-18) in the memory usage of the first application from a first time period to a second time period (col. 15, lines 35-40);

determining whether the change in the memory usage of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20); and

if the change in the memory usage of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria, identifying the first application to a user (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 97, lines 5-11).

As per claim 13, Chen discloses the memory usage of the one or more running processes is monitored over a plurality of consecutive discrete time periods, and the predetermined criteria is a limit on a number of memory increases allowed during the plurality of time periods (col. 92, lines 23-67 through col. 93, lines 6-10).

As per claim 14, Chen discloses a method of identifying memory problems in applications (Fig. 1) and (col. 22, lines 42-48), comprising:

monitoring (col. 6, lines 61-63 and Fig. 1, element 90, *performance tool*) at a kernel level system memory usage of one or more running processes (col. 26, lines 31-35 and 49-52) belonging to one or more user applications (col. 94, lines 8-12, wherein Chen discloses "*The user can select a "sort" button to reorder the menu of process data by a specific category or process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*") without modifying run-time environments of the one or more user applications (col. 21, lines 65-69 through col. 22, lines 1-2 and 42-52);

producing an output comprising at least the memory usage of one or more applications (col. 9, lines 41-51, *data display system*);

determining a memory usage pattern of a first application (col. 87, lines 3-12), the memory usage pattern indicating a change (col. 92, lines 25-34) in the memory usage of the first application from a first time period to a second time period (col. 15, lines 35-40);

determine whether the change in the memory usage of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20); and

if the change in the memory usage of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria, identify the first application (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 97, lines 5-11) by saving an identifier of the first application in a reference file (col. 88, lines 5-18).

As per claim 15, Chen discloses a method of identifying memory problems in applications (Fig. 1) and (col. 22, lines 42-48), comprising:

monitoring (col. 6, lines 61-63 and Fig. 1, element 90, *performance tool*) at a kernel level system memory usage of one or more running processes (col. 26, lines 31-35 and 49-52) belonging to one or more user applications (col. 94, lines 8-12, wherein Chen discloses "*The user can select a "sort" button to reorder the menu of process data by a specific category or process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*") without modifying run-time environments of the one or more user applications (col. 21, lines 65-69 through col. 22, lines 1-2 and 42-52);

determining a memory usage pattern of a first application (col. 87, lines 3-12), the memory usage pattern indicating a change (col. 92, lines 25-34) in the memory usage of the first application from a first time period to a second time period (col. 15, lines 35-40);

determining whether the change in the memory usage of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20); and

if the change in the memory usage of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria, identifying the first application to a user (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 97, lines 5-11) the first running application without identifying the one or more running applications whose memory usage patterns do not satisfy the predetermined criteria (col. 87, lines 53-56) associated with one or more problems (col. 92, lines 56-60) (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20).

As per claim 16, Chen discloses the monitored memory usage comprises at least a stack memory, data memory, and text memory (col. 26, lines 49-63).

As per claim 17, Chen discloses a method of identifying memory problems in applications (Fig. 1) and (col. 22, lines 42-48), comprising:

collecting system resource usage (col. 6, lines 61-63 and Fig. 1, element 90, *performance tool*) at a kernel level system memory usage of one or more running processes (col. 26, lines 31-35 and 49-52) belonging to one or more applications (col. 94, lines 8-12, wherein Chen discloses "*The user can select a "sort" button to reorder the menu of process data by a specific category or process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*") without modifying run-time environments of

the one or more user applications (col. 21, lines 65-69 through col. 22, lines 1-2 and 42-52);

determining a system resource usage pattern of a first application (col. 87, lines 3-12), the system resource usage pattern indicating a change (col. 86, lines 1-18) in the system usage of the first application from a first time period to a second time period (col. 15, lines 35-40);

determining whether the change (Abstract, *capturing and comparing performance statistics*) in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20); and

if the change in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria, identifying the first application to a user (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 97, lines 5-11).

As per claim 18, Chen discloses a system for identifying problems in applications (Fig. 1) and (col. 22, lines 42-48), comprising:

a data collection module (col. 69, line 35) operable to retrieve information about a plurality of running user applications application at a kernel level (col. 90, lines 63-64); and

a data analysis module (col. 86, lines 66-67 through col. 87, lines 1-15) operable to:

determine abnormal system usage pattern in the information based on changes in system resource usage of the user applications (col. 86, lines 1-18) and (col. 87, lines 3-18); and

identify a first user application (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 97, lines 5-11) whose system usage pattern corresponds to a change in a system resource usage that satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20).

As per claim 19, Chen discloses a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps of identifying problems in applications (Fig. 1) and (col. 22, lines 42-48), comprising:

monitoring (col. 6, lines 61-63 and Fig. 1, element 90, *performance tool*) at a kernel level system resource usage of one or more running applications (col. 26, lines 31-35 and 49-52) and (col. 94, lines 8-12, wherein Chen discloses "*The user can select a "sort" button to reorder the menu of process data by a specific category or process parameter, e.g., process ID (PID), process name, process priority, userid of the process owner, process memory utilization, CPU utilization, page faults, etc.*") without modifying run-time environments of the one or more user applications (col. 21, lines 65-69 through col. 22, lines 1-2 and 42-52);

determining a system resource usage pattern of a first application (col. 87, lines 3-12), the system resource usage pattern indicating a change (col. 86, lines 3-18) in the

system resource usage of the first application from a first time period to a second time period (col. 15, lines 35-40);

determining whether the change (Abstract, *capturing and comparing performance statistics*) in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 85, lines 49-60) and (col. 87, lines 15-20); and

if the change in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria, identifying the first application to a user (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 97, lines 5-11).

As per claim 21 Chen discloses comparing the monitored system usage for the first application against the predetermined criteria (col. 94, lines 8-12); and selecting the first application from the one or more running applications if the system usage pattern of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria (col. 16, lines 19-23), (col. 87, lines 53-56), and (col. 92, lines 56-60).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 2, 3, 9, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,684,945) in view of Matsumoto (U.S. Patent No. 5,835,765).

As per claim 2, Chen discloses detecting a “runaway” process (col. 86, lines 1-4). However Chen fails to explicitly disclose a predetermined limit on the number of processes that each of the one or more user applications may spawn.

Matsumoto teaches:

the system resource usage comprises a number of the processes that each of the one or more user applications have spawned and the predetermined criteria comprises exceeding a predetermined limit on the number of processes that each of the one or more user applications may spawn (col. 14, lines 1-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the system of executing plural application programs of Matsumoto in combination with the performance monitoring system of Chen to enhance the system

performance. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to make the combination because both inventions disclose monitoring system resource usage (Chen, col. 90, lines 59-65) and (Matsumoto, col. 16, lines 20-49).

As per claims 3 and 20, Matsumoto discloses monitoring a parent-child relationship between one or more running processes and each of the one or more applications (col. 11, lines 5-20); and

determining whether the system usage pattern of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems (col. 16, lines 20-49) comprises determining whether the first application has orphaned one of the one or more running processes (col. 12, lines 63-67) and (col. 13, lines 20-26).

As per claim 9, Matsumoto discloses the system resource usage comprises a number of the processes that each of the one or more applications have spawned; and the predetermined criteria is a generally continuous increase in the number of child processes spawned during the plurality of time periods (col. 14, lines 1-11).

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed December 14, 2009 with respect to claims 2, 3, 9, and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, 14, 15, and 17-19 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per claims 1, 12, 14, 15, and 17-19 applicants argue that Chen fails to teach the claimed limitation "determining whether the change in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies a predetermined criteria associated with one or more problems; and if the change in the system resource usage of the first application satisfies the predetermined criteria, identifying the first application to a user."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point out to col. 87, lines 15-32, wherein Chen discloses monitoring performance data and using a filter to detect problematic conditions (e.g. *paging space is less than 10 percent, overrun of a buffer*).

Chen discloses capturing performance statistics and comparing these statistics against known problematic statistics (see Abstract) and (col. 85, lines 49-60).

Chen further discloses using a GUI to display performance data with live time stamps for statistics associated with a defective condition and receiving notification of a defective condition (col. 16, lines 19-30) and (col. 96, lines 57-64).

The system resource usage monitoring (col. 26, lines 38-63) and the use of filters and alarms to detect problematic conditions (col. 87, lines 15-32) and identifying and displaying such conditions (col. 96, lines 57-64) as disclosed by Chen reads on the above limitation as recited in claims 1, 12, 14, 15, and 17-19.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elmira Mehrmanesh whose telephone number is (571) 272-5531. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert W. Beausoliel, Jr./  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2113